

Engineering teams

Review workflow acceptance checklist

From the field note ["AI writes the code. Why is the pull request still waiting?"](#).

The short version

- ◇ Measure waiting, reviewer effort and rework alongside implementation time.
- ◇ Limit incoming review work to the capacity your team actually has.
- ◇ Send one reviewable behavior change with evidence and a named owner.
- ◇ Bound automated review by explicit rules and observed outcomes, not model confidence.

The checklist

- ☐ Every ready request has one behavior goal, evidence and a reviewer.
- ☐ Queue age, active effort and rework are recorded separately.
- ☐ Review objections have reproductions or explicit reasoning and named resolution owners.
- ☐ Changed commits receive the affected checks and review again.
- ☐ Compare comparable changes for delivery time, review effort, reverts and escaped defects before expanding automation.

Want a second pair of eyes on your answers?

Book a free 30-minute discovery call at www.seriustech.com/contact or email contact@seriustech.com. We reply within 1 business day.

Read the full note with examples and sources at www.seriustech.com/blog/ai-code-review-bottleneck. © SeriusTech.